

## UNITED STA. 3 DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		
09/769,404	01/26/01	WALLIMANN		Т	8932-296		
		1 1844 TO 7 4 4 O TO	一	EXAMINER			
020582 PENNIE & EDM	IONDS LLP	HM12/1102		ANDRES,	J		
1667 K STREE				ART UNIT	PAPER NUM	IBER .	
SUITE 1000 WASHINGTON D	C 20006			1646		(	
				DATE MAILED	.11/02/01		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trad marks** 

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

OC (Rev. 2/95)

1

· •		1 4 1: -4:						
Office Action Summary		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/769,4	04	WALLIMANN ET AL.				
		Examine		Art Unit				
		Janet L A		1646				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on _							
2a) <u></u> □	_	This action is	non-final.					
3)[	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application	on Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.								
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s			y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
C Datastand To	1.00							

Art Unit: 1646

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-24, drawn to drawn to methods of affecting bone or cartilage growth, classified in class 514, subclass 565.
- II. Claims 25-26, drawn to compositions, classified in class 514, subclass 565.
- III. Claim 27, drawn to a method of gene therapy, classified in class 435, subclass 455.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

The methods of group I are distinct from the compositions of group II because they can be performed with other molecules, such as growth factors, and because the compositions have other uses, such as as dietary supplements.

The methods of group I are distinct from those of group II because they require different reagents and different techniques.

The compositions of group II are not related to the methods of group III. They can not be used in these methods.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/769,404

Art Unit: 1646

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the searches required for the different groups are different, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

There are several groups of species for Invention I.

Group I, method of delivery:

- a) three-dimensional construct of osteoblasts
- b) three-dimensional construct of chondrocytes
- c) three-dimensional construct of mscs

These are different cell types with different properties. Success with one cell type would not render success with another obvious.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed <u>cell type</u> for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Group II, creatine analogue:

The species are those listed in claim 7 and the specific analogues encompassed by claim 21. These are distinct molecules with distinct chemical and physical properties. Use of one would not render use of another obvious.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed <u>specific chemical</u> <u>structure</u> for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Application/Control Number: 09/769,404

Art Unit: 1646

Group III, co-administered compound:

The species are no co-administered compound and those specific compounds listed in claims 8, 9, 10, and 11. These are distinct molecules with different structures and different biological properties. Success with one would not render success with another obvious.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect either no co-administered molecule or a single disclosed <u>specific molecule</u> for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/769,404

Art Unit: 1646

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Janet Andres, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703) 305-0557. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler, Ph.D., can be reached at (703) 308-6564. The fax phone number for this group is (703) 305-3014 or (703) 308-4242.

Communications via internet mail regarding this application, other than those under U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [yvonne.eyler@uspto.gov].

All Internet email communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark Office on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Janet Andres, Ph.D. October 23, 2001

ÝVONNE EYLER, PH.D SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600